

Optical Character Recognition of Mathematical Texts in the DML-CZ Project¹

Petr Sojka, Radovan Panák, Tomáš Mudrák

Faculty of Informatics
Masaryk University in Brno

August 16th, 2006

¹Supported by the Academy of Sciences of Czech Republic grant
#1ET200190513

What is this story about?

- ☞ **important problem:** from pixel sets to the information (when awake, your brain spends almost half of its capacity for this task)
- ☞ **important application:** how to have all the math papers published in a digital searchable form: imagine all mathematical information/knowledge available at your fingertips!
- ☞ **pleasant surprises** (unexpected connections, difficulties, solutions and beauty): it actually works reasonably well!
- ☞ **No sex and violence**, sorry.

Motivation, Goals

- ① DML-CZ dml.muni.cz (today's talk of Jiří Rákosník)
- ② not only page images, but added value wrt. Google Scholar
- ③ full text indexing, good searching (and ranking),
- ④ well classified papers, with hypertext links between them and referee databases (ZentralBlatt and Math Reviews)
- ⑤ persistent and stable access, aimed at full (text) visibility in the global information space (Google Scholar, OAI-MPH servers, ...)

How to Find? Search!

- ① an entry gate to the digitized papers is **search**
- ② full text searching (talk by Robert Miner)
- ③ searching for intext references
- ④ search and exchange of **mathematical formulas**: MathML, OpenMath
- ⑤ due to the massive size of digitized material, the only way is very good OCR, **including math**.

Existing OCR Systems


- ① Not to reinvent the wheel: trial of several OCR engines.
- ② No single OCR system with acceptable results: high error rate, working only for specific purposes (plain English text), direct use was not possible.
- ③ Fine Reader by ABBYY gave good results for (even multilingual) text, and allows for typeface learning.
- ④ InftyReader by www.inftyproject.org the only available solution for structural math recognition.
- ⑤ No out-of-the-shelf solution.

Our OCR Solution

- ① combining both, using FineReader and InftyReader in a pipe to let every system to do what it is good for, then ‘vote’
- ② top-level (Java) program to **automate** the process **and fix** some deficiencies
- ③ instant setup unusable: **fine-tuning** and **gradually enhancing** the OCR procedure and program parameters so that OCR results would be acceptable for DML-CZ purposes
- ④ trying to improve the results further by close cooperation with the team of prof. Suzuki (Infty Project leader, Kyushu University, Japan, wait for next talk), and hopefully with other (retrodigitization) projects efforts.


Workflow of DML-CZ at Various Levels of Detail

Top-level DML-CZ Workflow



Workflow of DML-CZ at Various Levels of Detail

DML-CZ OCR Workflow Diagram



DML-CZ OCR Workflow – middle level of details I

- ① Choosing the testbed data (30.000 pages of CMJ since 1951).
- ② Scanning 600 DPI, 4-bit depth (soft binarization advantage).
- ③ Lookup for hot typefaces used in CMJ.
- ④ Training the Fine Reader (FR) 8.0 OCR engine for the fonts used.
- ⑤ Training the Lingua::Ident Perl module for language identification of languages used in CMJ (EN, RU, F, GE, CZ, SK): very reliable statistical method based on character bigrams and trigram counts.
- ⑥ FR scanning using general setup profile (no specific language vocabulary used).
- ⑦ Evaluating the language of the scanned block.
- ⑧ Calling FR to scan for the 2nd time with profile appropriate to the recognized language(s).

DML-CZ OCR Workflow – middle level of details II

- ① Export the result as layered PDF (+FineReader XML).
- ② Importing this PDF by InftyReader.
- ③ InftyReader recognition and storing the result Infty Markup Language IML (XML+MathML) and L^AT_EX.
- ④ Running (our Java) program OMLCorrector to fix some Infty Reader inefficiencies in IML.
- ⑤ Running (our Java) program OCRJoiner to compare characters in bounding boxes by FR and InftyReader and store the final result in IML.
- ⑥ Use the resulted files in further DML-CZ workflow.

OCR XML Postprocessing



```
<mblock>
  ...
  <munit entity="1" ocrparam="685,1746,704,1758,0">
    check
    <mlink type="under">
      <munit ocrparam="684,1761,707,1794,0">s</munit>
    </mlink>
  </munit>
  ...
<mblock>
```

is transformed to

```
...
<char ocrparam="684,1746,707,1794" entity="1">š</char>
...
```

Workflow of DML-CZ at Various Levels of Detail

DML-CZ OCR Workflow Implementation Gory Details



Contact me, no secrets, no patents!

Evaluation

Type of errors: T (text), D (diacritics), M (mathematics), L (layout)

Steps: 1 (FR1), 2 (FR2), 3 (lnfty), 4 (OCRJoiner), 5 (IMLCorrector)

Step	T	D	M	L
1	10	0	224	82
2	4	0	170	78
3	4	0	168	71
4	14	0	24	15
5	14	0	24	15

DML-CZ OCR Results

Picture	FR 1	FR 2	FR8.0 PE	IR	IR fixed
1	84,99%	88,03%	88,46%	97,48%	97,48%
2	86,93%	88,76%	88,07%	98,97%	98,97%
3	89,19%	92,35%	91,53%	99,18%	99,18%
4	93,40%	93,52%	95,78%	99,15%	99,19%
5	91,09%	91,62%	92,15%	99,87%	99,87%
6	79,46%	80,05%	82,25%	99,61%	99,61%
7	92,59%	93,39%	93,71%	99,09%	99,09%
8	91,33%	91,33%	98,30%	98,18%	98,61%
Average	88,65%	89,90%	91,23%	98,97%	99,02%

Conclusions

- ☒ less than 1% error rate (counting **all** types of errors).
- ☒ still space for improvements (better text/math separation and Unicode support in InftyReader)
- ☒ still space for better robustness
- ☒ still space for joining the efforts

That's it!

Thanks for all contributions we build upon
The end of the story
Questions?